

1
2
3
4
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
6 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
7

8 FRANK LAPENA,
9 Plaintiff(s),

10 v.

11 LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE
12 DEPARTMENT, et al.,
Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:21-cv-02170-JCM-NJK

Order

[Docket No. 113]

13 Pending before the Court is a proposed discovery plan. Docket No. 113. A scheduling
14 order has already been issued in this case, Docket No. 73, so it is unclear why the parties are filing
15 a discovery plan at this juncture.¹ Accordingly, the proposed discovery plan is **DENIED** as moot.
16 The case schedule previously established by the Court remains in effect.

17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18 Dated: August 26, 2022

19
20 
Nancy J. Koppe
United States Magistrate Judge
21
22
23
24

25 ¹ To the extent the discovery plan was filed based on automatically-generated CMECF
26 notices regarding a discovery plan deadline, *see, e.g.*, Docket No. 102, the Court reminds counsel
27 that such automatically-generated notices are provided as a courtesy only and do not reflect a
28 judicial setting of a deadline. *See* Local Rule IC 3-1(d). To the extent the discovery plan was filed
in an effort to extend existing deadlines, counsel are reminded that the proper means to seek such
relief is to file a request to extend deadlines rather than to file a new discovery plan. *See* Local
Rule 26-3.